Project Name:	Reviewer Name:	
---------------	----------------	--

- Tari
Administration

FY22 Community Solar LMI-PPA Grant Program

Administration	APPLICATION	REVIEW CHECK LIST (INTERNAL)
A. Applicant and Project Eligibility		
PROJECT NAME		
Application is filled out completely wi	th all required dates and signatures?	YES/NO List Discrepancies
Application was submitted by the dea	dline date	YES/NO
Is the project in Maryland and capacit	cy <= 2MW-ac?	YES/NO
Is the project in the LMI category, or penergy subscribed to LMI and in the S		YES/NO
Does the Applicant have a Certificate	of Good Standing from SDAT?	YES/NO
Does the Applicant have a Subscriber	Organization Number from the PSC?	YES/NO
Is the project a PPA financial model?		YES/NO
Are the calculations of kWh-ac/kWh-c	c reasonable/supported?	YES/NO
B. Application Evaluation Criteria (from	n Notice of Grant Availability)	
Total Benefit to Subscribers		Points = (Cell B19/50,000)
Leverage quotient		Points = (total array cost / total grant funds)/.
Is the array combined with an energy	storage device?	Points= number of full hours of energy storag at full solar array rated output
Is the array on a waste landfill or land of	cleared through the MDE VCP Program?	1 point if yes
Will array output data be visible to the updating sign, display, dashboard or i		1 point for yes
C. Required Documentation		
Is the Subscriber Organization in Good	d Standing in Maryland?	YES/NO
Subscriber Organization's IRS Form W	-9 provided?	YES/NO
Is the Solar Installer in Good Standing	in Maryland?'	YES/NO
Google Earth (or equivalent) map sho	wing the location of the site provided?	YES/NO
Documentation showing expected en	ergy production provided?	YES/NO

Timeline provided?	YES/NO
Documentation showing the last 12 months of Residential Community Solar Energy Generation (CSEG) Credit Rate for the utility service area.	YES/NO
Cover letter provided indicating guaranty of savings over 20 years and the form of incentive (i.e. base rate plus escalator or constant savings below CESGS credit rate)?	YES/NO
Evidence that the applicant has control of the proposed site for at least 20 years after the solar system is expected to be complete.	YES/NO
D. Total Points	
Total Points from Section B	points
E. Subjective Comments	
Include subjective comments such as (geographic diversity, ability to be seen from highway, etc.), and anything else you think worthy of note.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Include subjective comments such as (geographic diversity, ability to be	
Include subjective comments such as (geographic diversity, ability to be seen from highway, etc.), and anything else you think worthy of note.	: NOTE:
Include subjective comments such as (geographic diversity, ability to be seen from highway, etc.), and anything else you think worthy of note. F. Your Recommendation	: NOTE:
Include subjective comments such as (geographic diversity, ability to be seen from highway, etc.), and anything else you think worthy of note. F. Your Recommendation Award, don't award, need more information, etc.	: NOTE:
Include subjective comments such as (geographic diversity, ability to be seen from highway, etc.), and anything else you think worthy of note. F. Your Recommendation	: NOTE: